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## Valuation Tools

- A key task of managers is to undertake valuation exercises in order to allocate capital between mutually exclusive projects:
$>$ Is project A better than doing nothing?
$>$ Is project $A$ better than project $B$ ?
$>$ Is the project's version A than its modified version A'?
- The process of valuation and ultimately of capital budgeting generally involves many factors, some formal, some not (experience, hard-to-formalize information, politics, etc.).
- We will focus on financial tools for valuation.


## Valuation Tools (cont.)

- These tools provide managers with numerical techniques to "keep score" and assist in the decision-making process.
- They build on modern finance theory and deal with cash flows, time, and risk.
- All rely on (often highly) simplified models of the business:
$>$ Technical limitations (less now with computers)
$>$ Versatility
> Understandable and discussible


## How to Value a Project/Firm?

- Calculate NPV
> Estimate the expected cash-flows
$>$ Estimate the appropriate discount rate for each cash flow
> Calculate NPV
- Look up the price of a comparable project
- Use alternative criteria (e.g., IRR, payback method)
$>$ You need to be an educated user of these


## Comparables method

- Suppose you want to value a private company going public
$>$ EBITDA = \$100 million
> For a similar public company P/E = 10
$>$ You value the IPO company at $\$ 1,000$ million
- What are the implicit assumptions?
$>$ Suppose that $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{E} /(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{g})$
$>$ Then, P/E = $1 /(r-g)$
> Thus, we assume that
- Earnings are expected to grow in perpetuity at a constant rate
- Growth rates and discount rates are the same for both firms


## Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

- One-period project
> Investment =100 at time 0 Payoff = 150 at time 1
Rate of return $=150 / 100-1=50 \%$
NPV $=-100+150 /$ discount rate $=0$
Discount rate $=150 / 100=50 \%$
$\Rightarrow$ Rate of return is the discount rate that makes NPV $=0$
- Multiple period projects
$>\operatorname{IRR}$ is the discount rate that makes NPV $=0$

$$
\mathrm{NPV}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{1+\mathrm{IRR}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{2}}{(1+\mathrm{IRR})^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}}{(1+\mathrm{IRR})^{\mathrm{T}}}=0
$$

Basic rule: Chose projects with IRR > opportunity costs of capital

## Internal Rate of Return (IRR), cont.

- Suppose you choose among two mutually exclusive projects
$>$ E.g., alternative ways to use a particular piece of land

| Project 1: | cash flows | -10 | +20 | IRR $=100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project 2: | cash flows: | -20 | +35 | IRR $=75 \%$ |

$>$ Which project would you choose? (costs of capital = 10\%)

- Project 2 because it has a higher NPV
- Other pitfalls (BM, Chapter 5)
$>$ E.g., multiple IRR, lending vs. borrowing.
- Bottom line
$>$ NPV is easier to use than IRR
$>$ If used properly, IRR should give you the same answer as NPV


## 1. Calculating Cash Flows

## The Free Cash Flow (FCF) Approach

- FCF: The expected after tax cash flows of an all equity firm
$>$ These cash flows ignore the tax savings the firm gets from debt financing (the deductibility of interest expense)
- Plan of Attack:
$>$ Step 1: Estimating the Free Cash Flows
$>$ Step 2: Account for the effect of financing on value
- Preview: Two ways to account for tax shield:
$>$ Adjust the discount rate (WACC method).
$>$ Adjust the cash-flow estimate (APV method).


## Count all incremental, after-tax cash flows allowing for reasonable inflation.

- All:
$>$ Don't just look at operating profits in the out years.
$>$ If project requires follow-on CAPX or additional working capital, take these into account.
- After-tax: The rest goes to the IRS.
- Be consistent in your treatment of inflation:
$>$ Discount nominal cash flows at nominal discount rates.
> Reasons:
- Nominal rates reflect inflation in overall economy, but inflation in cash flows may be different.
- In fact, some items in cash flows, e.g., depreciation, may have no inflation.


## Treatment of Inflation - Example

- T-Bill rate (nominal) $=8 \%$
- Expected inflation rate $=6 \%$
- Expected real rate $=1.08 / 1.06=1.9 \%$
- Sales of widgets next year = $\$ 100$ measured in today's dollars
- You expect that the price of the widgets will go up by $6 \%$
- What's the PV of the widgets?
nominal cash flows: $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\$ 100 *(1.06) / 1.08=98.2$
real cash flows: $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\$ 100 /(1.08 / 1.06)=98.2$


## Equivalent Expressions for Free Cash Flows (see Finance Theory I)

FCF $=(1-\mathrm{t}) \times$ EBIT + Depreciation - CAPX - Change in NWC

FCF $=(1-\mathrm{t}) \times$ EBITD $+\mathrm{t} \times$ Depreciation - CAPX - Change in NWC

FCF $=(1-t) \times$ EBIT - Change in Net Assets

## Note:

EBIT = Earnings before interest and taxes
EBITD = Earnings before interest and taxes and depreciation = EBIT + Depreciation Change in NWC is sometimes called Investment in NWC.

## Example of Free Cash Flow Calculation

|  | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | 1,000 | 1,200 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 700 | 850 |
| Depreciation | 30 | 35 |
| Interest Expense | 40 | 50 |
| Taxes (38\%) | 80 | 90 |
| Profit After taxes | 150 | 175 |
| Capital Expenditures | 40 | 40 |
| Accounts Receivable | 50 | 60 |
| Inventories | 50 | 60 |
| Accounts Payable | 20 | 25 |

In 1999: $\mathrm{FCF}=\mathrm{EBIT}^{*}(1-\mathrm{t})+$ Depreciation - CAPX - Change in NWC
EBIT $=1,200-850-35=315 ;$ Ch. NWC $=(60+60-25)-(50+50-20)=15$
FCF $=315$ * $(1-.38)+35-40-15=175.3$

## Beware!

- Note:
$>$ We ignored interest payments
> We computed taxes on EBIT
- Do not take the effect of financing (e.g., interest) into account at this stage.
- Remember our plan:
$>$ First, determine the expected cash-flows as if the project were 100\% equity financed.
$>$ Later, we will adjust for financing.
- If you count financing costs in cash-flow, you count them twice.


## TW Example

- XYZ, a profitable widget producer (\$100M annual after-tax profit) contemplates introducing new Turbo Widgets (TWs), developed in its labs at an R\&D cost of \$1M over the past 3 years.
- New plant to produce TW would
$>$ cost $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ today
> last 10 years with salvage value of $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$
$>$ be depreciated to $\$ 0$ over 5 years using straight-line
- TWs need painting: Use $40 \%$ of the capacity of a painting machine
$>$ currently owned and used by XYZ at 30\% capacity
$>$ with maintenance costs of $\$ 100,000$ (regardless of capacity used)
- Annual
$>$ operating costs: $\$ 400,000$
> operating income generated: \$42M
$>$ operating income of regular widgets would decrease by \$2M
- Working capital (WC): \$2M needed over the life of the project
- Corporate tax rate $36 \%$


## TW Example (cont.)

- Ignore the $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ after-tax profit and focus on incremental cash-flows
- R\&D cost of $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ over the past three years: Sunk cost ==> Ignore it
- The plant's $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ cost: It's a CAPX ==> Count it
- Machine's $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ maintenance cost: Not incremental ==> Ignore it
$>$ Incurred with or without TW production
$>$ True even if accounting charges TW production a fraction of these
- Op. income of regular widgets decrease by $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ due to cannibalization
$>$ Would not occur without TW production
$>$ It is an opportunity cost ==> Count it

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPX | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RW Inc. decrease | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

## Use Incremental Cash Flows

- Compare firm value with and without the project

$$
\mathrm{V}(\text { project })=\mathrm{V}(\text { firm w/ project })-\mathrm{V}(\text { firm w/o project })
$$

- Use only cash flows (in and out) attributable to the project
$>$ Sunk costs should be ignored
- They are spent w/ or w/o the project (bygones are bygones).
$>$ Opportunity costs should be accounted for
- A project might exclude good alternatives (e.g., use of land).
> Accounting illusions should be avoided
- e.g. the project might be "charged" for a fraction of expenses that would be incurred anyway.


## Use After-tax Cash Flows

- These are what you have left after paying capital suppliers
- Make sure to count the benefits of expensing, depreciation, etc.
- CAPX and Depreciation:
$\rightarrow$ CAPX are not directly subtracted from taxable income
$>$ Instead, a fraction of CAPX (depreciation) is subtracted over a number of years


## TW Example (cont.)

- Depreciation:
$>$ Straight line depreciation: Flat annual depreciation
$>$ Accelerated depreciation: Decreasing
- \$20M CAPX is depreciated linearly over 5 years, down to zero.

$$
D=(20-0) / 5=\$ 4 M
$$

- Salvage value $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ is fully taxable since book value is zero.

| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## TW Example (cont.)

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPX | 20.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Income | - | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| RW Inc. decr. | - | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Incr. income | - | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Incr. cost | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Salvage value | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.0 |
| Incr. profit | - | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 44.6 |
| Depreciation | - | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| EBIT | - | 35.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 44.6 |
| Incr. taxes (36\%) | - | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 16.1 |
| Incremental CF | -20.0 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 28.5 |

Note: We do as if entire EBIT is taxable ==> We ignore (for now) the fact that interest payments are not taxable.

## So far (but we're not done yet):

CF = Incr. Profit - Taxes - CAPX

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { Incr. Profit }-t \text { * (Incr. Profit }- \text { Depr. })- \text { CAPX } \\
& =(1-t) * \text { Incr. Profit }+t * \text { Depr. }- \text { CAPX }
\end{aligned}
$$

Example: We could have computed the CF in year 1 as

$$
(1-36 \%) * 39.6+36 \% * 4-0=\$ 26.8 M
$$

## Changes in (Net) Working Capital

## Remark 1:

- Many projects need some capital to be tied up (working capital) which constitutes an opportunity cost.
- We need the Change in Working Capital implied by the project.


## Remark 2:

- Accounting measure of earnings
Sales - Cost of Goods Sold
- Income and expense are reported when a sale is declared.
> COGS in 2000 includes the costs of items sold in 2000 even if the cost was incurred in 1999 or hasn't been incurred yet.
$>$ Sales in 2000 include the income from items sold in 2000 even if the payment has not been received yet.

> Working Capital = Inventory + A/R - A/P

## TW Example (cont.)

| Year | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CAPX | - | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 44.6 |
| Incr. profit | - | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 16.1 |
| Incr. taxes (36\%) | - | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | - |
| NWC | 2.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -2.0 |
| Change in NWC | 2.0 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{- 2 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 5}$ |

## Putting It All Together

FCF $=(1-t)$ * Incr. Profit $+t$ * Depr. $-C A P X-\Delta N W C$

This can also be rewritten as

FCF $=(1-t)$ *EBIT + Depr. $-C A P X-\Delta N W C$

## Finding the Value of the Cash Flows

- Decision Rule
> Accept any project with positive NPV. The NPV tells you how much value the project creates.

$$
\mathrm{NPV}=\mathrm{CF}_{0}+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{CF}_{1}\right]}{(1+\mathrm{r})}+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{CF}_{2}\right]}{(1+\mathrm{r})^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right]}{(1+\mathrm{r})^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{CF}_{4}\right]}{(1+\mathrm{r})^{4}}+\ldots
$$

- We know how to find the expected free cash flows
- We need to find the appropriate discount rate for a project
- We need to account for the tax benefits of interest payments
$>$ Ignore this for now, and assume that the project is $100 \%$ equity financed


## What is the appropriate discount rate for a project?

- The discount rate is the opportunity cost of capital for the project.
- It answers the question: What rate can investors earn on an investments with comparable risk?
- What does comparable risk mean?


## Using the CAPM

- What does 'comparable risk' mean?
$>$ CAPM: risk $=\beta$
- How does risk translate into a discount rate?
$>$ CAPM: $E\left[r_{E}\right]=r_{f}+\beta_{E} E\left[R_{M}-r_{f}\right]$
- Practical issues
$>$ Estimating betas
$>$ Estimating the market risk premium
> Leverage


## Beta $=$ regression slope



## Leverage, returns, and risk

Firm is a portfolio of debt and equity


Therefore ...

$$
r_{A}=\frac{D}{A} r_{D}+\frac{E}{A} r_{E} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{A}=\frac{D}{A} \beta_{D}+\frac{E}{A} \beta_{E}
$$

## Estimating Betas

- Equity Beta
> Simply regress past stock returns on the market return
- Asset Beta
> For an all-equity firm, equity beta = asset beta
> How about levered firms?
> Hint:
- You can view the firm as a portfolio of debt and equity
- Recall: portfolio beta = weighted average of individual asset betas
- Question: What are the appropriate weights?
- You can assume that debt is risk-free or that debt beta is between 0.1 and 0.3 (based on empirical studies)

